Provide methodology and assumptions used to estimate A	DA, enrollment	revenues,	expenditures,	reserves	and fund ba	alance,	and multiyear
commitments (including cost-of-living adjustments).							

Deviations from the standards must be explained and may affect the approval of the budget

^	D	IT	F	P	IΔ	ΔΙ	NΠ	S	ГΔ	N	n	Δ	R	n	S
١.	•	11	_	•	ш	\rightarrow				. I V	u	~	•	u,	J

1. CRITERION: Average Daily Attendance

STANDARD: Funded average daily attendance (ADA) has not been overestimated in 1) the first prior fiscal year OR in 2) two or more of the previous three fiscal years by more than the following percentage levels:

	Percentage Level	District ADA			
_	3.0%	0	to	300	
	2.0%	301	to	1,000	
	1.0%	1,001	and	over	
District ADA (Form A, Estimated P-2 ADA column, lines A4, C1, and C2e):	7,877				
District's ADA Standard Percentage Level:	1.0%				

1A. Calculating the District's ADA Variances

DATA ENTRY: For the Second and Third Prior Years, enter data in the Revenue Limit Funded ADA, Original Budget column All other data are extracted

'For the First prior Year, enter the earliest estimate of the District and Charter School Regular Funded ADA in the Original Budget column

Revenue Limit (Funded) ADA/Estimated Funded ADA

Original Budget Estim
(Form RL, Line 5c [5b]) (Fo

Estimated/Unaudited Actuals (Form RL, Line 5c [5b]) ADA Variance Level (If Budget is greater

Fiscal Year	(Form A, Lines A6, C1, and C2e) (F	orm A, Lines A6, C1, and C2e)	than Actuals, else N/A)	Status
Third Prior Year (2011-12)	7,918.00	7,913.00	0.1%	Met
Second Prior Year (2012-13)	7.895.00	7,945.13	N/A	Met
First Prior Year (2013-14)¹	7.948.16	7.941.50	0.1%	Met
Budget Year (2014-15)	7,941.50			

1B. Comparison of District ADA to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met

Ia. STANDARD MET - Funded ADA has not been overestimated by more than the standard percentage level for the first prior year

Explanation: (required if NOT met)	
(required if NOT met)	
	,

1b. STANDARD MET - Funded ADA has not been overestimated by more than the standard percentage level for two or more of the previous three years

Explanation:	
Explanation: (required if NOT met)	

	l: Enro	

STANDARD: Projected enrollment has not been overestimated in 1) the first prior fiscal year OR in 2) two or more of the previous three fiscal years by more than the following percentage levels:

	Percentage Level	District ADA
	3.0%	0 to 300
	2 0%	301 to 1,000
	1 0%	1,001 and over
District ADA (Form A, Estimated P-2 ADA column, lines A4, C1, and C2e):	7,877	
District's Enrollment Standard Percentage Level:	1.0%	

Enrollment Variance Level

2A. Calculating the District's Enrollment Variances

DATA ENTRY: Enter data in the Enrollment, Budget, column for all fiscal years and in the Enrollment, CBEDS Actual, column for the First Prior Year; all other data are extracted or calculated

			Emonition variation	
	Enrollmen	t	(If Budget is greater	
Fiscal Year	Budget	CBEDS Actual	than Actual, else N/A)	Status
Third Prior Year (2011-12)	8,139	8,410	N/A	Met
Second Prior Year (2012-13)	8,043	8,476	N/A	Met
First Prior Year (2013-14)	8,102	8,187	N/A	Met
Budget Year (2014-15)	8,187			

2B. Comparison of District Enrollment to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met

1b S

1a STANDARD MET - Enrollment has not been overestimated by more than the standard percentage level for the first prior year.

Explanation: (required if NOT met)	
FANDARD MET - Enrollmer	It has not been overestimated by more than the standard percentage level for two or more of the previous three years
Explanation: (required if NOT met)	

CRITERION: ADA to Enrollment

STANDARD: Projected second period (P-2) average daily attendance (ADA) to enrollment ratio for any of the budget year or two subsequent fiscal years has not increased from the historical average ratio from the three prior fiscal years by more than one half of one percent (0.5%)

3A. Calculating the District's ADA to Enrollment Standard

DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calculated

	P~2 ADA		•
	Estimated/Unaudited Actuals	Enrollment	
	(Form A, Lines 3, 6, and 25)	CBEDS Actual	Historical Ratio
Fiscal Year	(Form A, Lines A4,C1, and C2e)	(Criterion 2, Item 2A)	of ADA to Enrollment
Third Prior Year (2011-12)	7,807	8,410	92.8%
Second Prior Year (2012-13)	7,877	8,476	92.9%
First Prior Year (2013-14)	7,855	8,187	95.9%
(=====,		Historical Average Ratio:	93.9%
	District's ADA to Enrollment Standard (historic	cal average ratio plus 0.5%):	94.4%

3B. Calculating the District's Projected Ratio of ADA to Enrollment

DATA ENTRY: If Form MYP exists, Estimated P-2 ADA for the two subsequent years will be extracted; if not, enter Estimated P-2 ADA data in the first column Enter data in the Enrollment column for the two subsequent years All other data are extracted or calculated

> Estimated P-2 ADA Budget

	Budget	Enrollment		
	(Form A, Lines A4,C1, and C2e)	Budget/Projected		
Fiscal Year	(Form MYP, Line F2)	(Criterion 2, Item 2A)	Ratio of ADA to Enrollment	Status
Budget Year (2014-15)	7,877	8,187	96.2%	Not Met
1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	7,882	8,187	96.3%	Not Met
2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)	7.882	8,187	96.3%	Not Met

3C. Comparison of District ADA to Enrollment Ratio to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met

STANDARD NOT MET - Projected P-2 ADA to enrollment ratio is above the standard for one or more of the budget or two subsequent fiscal years Provide reasons why the projected ratio exceeds the district's historical average ratio by more than 0.5%

Explanation: (required if NOT met) The enrollment for 2011-12 and 2012-13 was reported incorrectly, 2011-12 was 8170, and 2012-13 was 8194 Using these numbers the average ratio is 95.9%. A goal of the district for 2014-15 is to increase attendance days

4. CRITERION: LCFF Revenue

4A. District's LCFF Revenue Standard

STANDARD Projected local control funding formula (LCFF) revenue for any of the budget year or two subsequent fiscal years has not changed from the prior fiscal year by more than the change in population, plus the district's gap funding or cost-of-living adjustment (COLA)¹ and its economic recovery target payment, plus or minus one percent

For basic aid districts, projected LCFF revenue has not changed from the prior fiscal year by more than the percent change in property tax revenues plus or minus one percent

For districts funded by necessary small school formulas, projected LCFF revenue has not changed from the prior fiscal year amount by more than the district's gap funding or COLA¹ and its economic recovery target payment, plus or minus one percent

Districts that are already at or above their LCFF target funding as described in Education Code Section 42238.03(d) receive no gap funding. These districts have a COLA applied to their LCFF target, but their year-over-year revenue increase might be less than the statutory COLA due to certain local factors and components of the funding formula.

Indicate which standard applies:				
LCFF Revenue				
Basic Aid				
Necessary Small School				
The District must select which LCFF revenue stand LCFF Revenue Standard selected: LCFF Revenue L				200 (Marketonia proposa 2/2/2005—7/200—7/100 (Marketonia MOVIMA) (
4A1. Calculating the District's LCFF Revenu	ue Standard			
DATA ENTRY: Enter LCFF Target amounts for the Enter data in Step 1a for the two subsequent fiscal Enter data for Steps 2a through 2d All other data is	vears. All other data is extracted or	years calculated.		
Projected LCFF Revenue				
Has the District reached its LCFF target funding level?	No	If Yes, then COLA amount in Line 2b2 If No, then Gap Funding in Line 2c is t	es is used in Line 2e Total calculation used in Line 2e Total calculation	
		Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
LCFF Target (Reference Only)		66,064,540.00	67,539,546.00	68,973,660.00
Step 1 - Change in Population	Prior Year (2013-14)	Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
a. ADA (Funded)				7,040,40
(Form A, lines A6, C1, and C2e)	7,941.50	7,941.50	7,946.49 7,941.50	7,946.49 7,946.49
b Prior Year ADA (Funded)		7,941.50	4,99	0.00
c Difference (Step 1a minus Step 1b) d. Percent Change Due to Population		0.00	4.55	0.00
 d. Percent Change Due to Population (Step 1c divided by Step 1b) 		0.00%	0.06%	0.00%
Step 2 - Change in Funding Level a Prior Year LCFF Funding		48,101,440.00	53,141,886.00	57,538,109.00
a Prior Year LCFF Funding b1 COLA percentage (if district is at target)	Not Applicable	10,10111		
b2 COLA amount (proxy for purposes of this		0.00	0.00	0.00
criterion) c Gap Funding (if district is not at target)	Not Approxible	5,040,446.00	4,366,379.00	2,229,932.00
c Gap Funding (if district is not at target) d Economic Recovery Target Funding (current year increment)		0,010 1,1010		
e Total (Lines 2b2 or 2c, as applicable, plus	Line 2d)	5,040,446.00	4,366,379.00	2,229,932.00
f Percent Change Due to Funding Level (Step 2e divided by Step 2a)		10.48%	8.22%	3.88%
Step 3 - Total Change in Population and Funding L	_evel			
(Step 1d plus Step 2f)		10.48%	8.28%	3.88%
LCFF Revenue St	tandard (Step 3, plus/minus 1%):	9.48% to 11.48%	7.28% to 9.28%	2.88% to 4.88%

2014-15 July 1 Budget (Single Adoption) General Fund School District Criteria and Standards Review

50 71290 0000000 Form 01CS

4A2. Alternate LCFF Revenue Standard	- Basic Aid			
DATA ENTRY: If applicable to your district, inpu	it data in the 1st and 2nd Subsequent Y	ear columns for projected local pr	operty taxes; all other data are extracted	or calculated
Basic Aid District Projected LCFF Revenue				
	Prior Year (2013-14)	Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
Projected Local Property Taxes (Form 01, Objects 8021 - 8089)	10,483,387.00	10,483,387.00	10,483,387.00	10,483,387.00
Percent Change from Previous Year	Basic Aid Standard (percent change from	N/A	N/A	N/A
	previous year, plus/minus 1%):	N/A	N/A	N/A
4A3. Alternate LCFF Revenue Standard	- Necessary Small School			
DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calcula	ted			
Necessary Small School District Projected L	.CFF Revenue			
	_	Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
(Gap Funding or COLA, plus Economic	Necessary Small School Standard Recovery Target Payment, Step 2f, plus/minus 1%):	N/A	N/A	N/A
4B. Calculating the District's Projected	Change in LCFF Revenue			
DATA ENTRY: Enter data in the 1st and 2nd St	ubsequent Year columns for LCFF Reve	enue; all other data are extracted	or calculated.	
	Prior Year (2013-14)	Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
LCFF Revenue (Fund 01, Objects 8011, 8012, 8020-8089)	49,203,654.00	54,244,100.00	58,634,349.00	60,861,639.00
	Projected Change in LCFF Revenue:	10.24%	8.09%	3.80%
	LCFF Revenue Standard:	9.48% to 11.48%	7.28% to 9.28%	2.88% to 4.88%
	Status:	Met	Met	Met
4C. Comparison of District LCFF Reven	ue to the Standard			
DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the stand	fard is not met.			
1a. STANDARD MET - Projected change	in LCFF revenue has met the standard f	for the budget and two subsequer	nt fiscal years.	
Explanation:				
(required if NOT met)				

5. CRITERION: Salaries and Benefits

STANDARD Projected ratio of total unrestricted salaries and benefits to total unrestricted general fund expenditures for any of the budget year or two subsequent fiscal years has not changed from the historical average ratio from the three prior fiscal years by more than the greater of three percent or the district's required reserves percentage

It is likely that for many districts the 2014-15 and 2015-16 change from the historical average ratio will exceed the standard because certain revenues that were restricted prior to the LCFF are now unrestricted within the LCFF

5A. Calculating the District's Historical Average Ratio of Unrestricted Salaries and Benefits to Total Unrestricted General Fund Expenditures

DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calculated

Estimated/Unaudited Actuals - Unrestricted (Resources 0000-1999)

Ratio

	Salaries and Benefits	Total Expenditures	of Unrestricted Salaries and Benefits
Fiscal Year	(Form 01, Objects 1000-3999)	(Form 01, Objects 1000-7499)	to Total Unrestricted Expenditures
Third Prior Year (2011-12)	34,021,654.51	36,127,436.48	94.2%
Second Prior Year (2012-13)	35,416,627.09	37,345,260.45	94.8%
First Prior Year (2013-14)	38,012,657.00	41,040,106.00	92.6%
7 HOLT HOL FOUR (2010 11)		Historical Average Ratio:	93.9%

	Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
District's Reserve Standard Percentage (Criterion 10B, Line 4):	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
District's Salaries and Benefits Standard			
(historical average ratio, plus/minus the greater			00.004 4 . 00.004
of 3% or the district's reserve standard percentage):	90.9% to 96.9%	90.9% to 96.9%	90.9% to 96.9%

5B. Calculating the District's Projected Ratio of Unrestricted Salaries and Benefits to Total Unrestricted General Fund Expenditures

DATA ENTRY: If Form MYP exists, Unrestricted Salaries and Benefits, and Total Unrestricted Expenditures data for the 1st and 2nd Subsequent Years will be extracted; if not, enter data for the two subsequent years. All other data are extracted or calculated.

Budget - Unrestricted (Resources 0000-1999)

(Resources 0000-1999)

Salaries and Benefits

Total Expenditures

Ratio

	Salanes and benefits	i otal Expenditures	110110	
	(Form 01, Objects 1000-3999)	(Form 01, Objects 1000-7499)	of Unrestricted Salaries and Benefits	
Fiscal Year	(Form MYP, Lines B1-B3)	(Form MYP, Lines B1-B8, B10)	to Total Unrestricted Expenditures	Status
Budget Year (2014-15)	40,713,292.00	43,518,127.00	93.6%	Met
1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	42,204,287.00	45,472,826.00	92.8%	Met
2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)	43,584,563.00	46,916,634.00	92.9%	Met
2114 04500400111 1001 (2010 11)	Lancoura de la constantina del constantina de la constantina del constantina de la c	to a contract of the second se	·····	

5C. Comparison of District Salaries and Benefits Ratio to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met

1a STANDARD MET - Ratio of total unrestricted salaries and benefits to total unrestricted expenditures has met the standard for the budget and two subsequent fiscal years

Explanation:	
(required if NOT met)	

6. CRITERION: Other Revenues and Expenditures

STANDARD: Projected operating revenues (including federal, other state, and other local) or expenditures (including books and supplies, and services and other operating), for any of the budget year or two subsequent fiscal years, have not changed from the prior fiscal year amount by more than the percentage change in population and the funded cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) plus or minus ten percent

For each major object category, changes that exceed the percentage change in population and the funded COLA plus or minus five percent must be explained.

A. Calculating the District's Other Revenues and Expenditures Standard P	ercentage Ranges		
DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calculated.	5 1 1 1 2	And Outhernwood Value	2nd Cubannant Vans
	Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
District's Change in Population and Funding Level			
(Criterion 4A1, Step 3):	10.48%	8.28%	3.88%
2. District's Other Revenues and Expenditures			
Standard Percentage Range (Line 1, plus/minus 10%):	.48% to 20.48%	-1.72% to 18.28%	-6.12% to 13.88%
3 District's Other Revenues and Expenditures	and the second s		
Explanation Percentage Range (Line 1, plus/minus 5%):	5.48% to 15.48%	3,28% to 13,28%	-1.12% to 8.88%

6B. Calculating the District's Change by Major Object Category and Comparison to the Explanation Percentage Range (Section 6A, Line 3)

DATA ENTRY: If Form MYP exists, the 1st and 2nd Subsequent Year data for each revenue and expenditure section will be extracted; if not, enter data for the two subsequent years. All other data are extracted or calculated

Explanations must be entered for each category if the percent change for any year exceeds the district's explanation percentage range

		Percent Change	Change Is Outside
Object Range / Fiscal Year	Amount	Over Previous Year	Explanation Range
Federal Revenue (Fund 01, Objects 8100-8299) (Form MYP, Line A2)			
First Prior Year (2013-14)	4,798,752.00		
Budget Year (2014-15)	4,495,714.00	-6.31%	Yes
1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	3,694,221.00	-17.83%	Yes
2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)	3,694,221.00	0.00%	No

Other State Revenue (Fund 01, Objects 8300-8599) (Form MYP, Line A3)

First Prior Year (2013-14) Budget Year (2014-15) 1st Subsequent Year (2015-16) 2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)

3,483,406.00		
1,833,212.00	-47.37%	Yes
1,717,562.00	-6.31%	Yes
1,717,562.00	0.00%	No

Explanation: (required if Yes)

Common Core and California Clean Energy revenue was received in 2013-14 with a majority of the Clean Energy revenue deferred to 2014-15 and no additional revenue budgeted at this time

Other Local Revenue (Fund 01, Objects 8600-8799) (Form MYP, Line A4)

First Prior Year (2013-14) Budget Year (2014-15) 1st Subsequent Year (2015-16) 2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)

3,636,881.00		
3,895,705.00	7.12%	No
3,613,553.00	-7.24%	Yes
3,618,065.00	0.12%	No

Explanation: (required if Yes)

Microsoft Settlement onetime revenue is budgeted for 2014-15 with no additional revenue expected

Books and Supplies (Fund 01, Objects 4000-4999) (Form MYP, Line B4)

First Prior Year (2013-14) Budget Year (2014-15) 1st Subsequent Year (2015-16) 2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)

3,410,339.00		
3,300,190.00	-3.23%	Yes
2,279,392.00	-30.93%	Yes
2,283,434.00	0.18%	No

Explanation: (required if Yes)

2013-14 Title I carried over funds for purchase student devices were expended along with a portion of Common Core funds with the balance of Common Core and Microsoft Settlement funds planned for expense in 2014-15

Services and Other Operating Expenditures (Fund 01, Objects 5000-5999) (Form MYP, Line B5)

First Prior Year (2013-14)
Budget Year (2014-15)
1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)
2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)

5,161,736.00		
6,270,225.00	21.48%	Yes
5,637,488.00	-10.09%	Yes
5,739,893.00	1.82%	No

Explanation: (required if Yes)

Title I PI funds are budgeted for professional development along with Routine Repair and Maintenance projects for 2014-15.

6C. Calculating the District's Change in Total Operating Revenues and Expenditures (Section 6A, Line 2)

DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calculated

Object Range / Fiscal Year	je / Fiscal Year Amount Over Previous Year		Status	
Total Federal, Other State, and Other Local Revenue (Criterion 6B)				
irst Prior Year (2013-14)	11,919,039.00			
udget Year (2014-15)	10,224,631.00	-14.22%	Not Met	
t Subsequent Year (2015-16)	9,025,336.00	-11.73%	Not Met	
nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)	9,029,848.00	0.05%	Met	

Total Books and Supplies, and Services and Other Operating Expenditures (Criterion 6B)

First Prior Year (2013-14) Budget Year (2014-15) 1st Subsequent Year (2015-16) 2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)

	co (ontenon ob)		
Γ	8,572,075.00		
Γ	9,570,415.00	11.65%	Met
r	7,916,880.00	-17.28%	Not Met
r	8.023.327.00	1.34%	Met

Parcent Change

6D. Comparison of District Total Operating Revenues and Expenditures to the Standard Percentage Range

DATA ENTRY: Explanations are linked from Section 6B if the status in Section 6C is not met; no entry is allowed below

1a STANDARD NOT MET - Projected total operating revenues have changed by more than the standard in one or more of the budget or two subsequent fiscal years. Reasons for the projected change, descriptions of the methods and assumptions used in the projections, and what changes, if any, will be made to bring the projected operating revenues within the standard must be entered in Section 6A above and will also display in the explanation box below.

Explanation: Federal Revenue (linked from 6B if NOT met) 2013-14 included a large amount of carryover funds, and 2014-15 includes carryover in Title I and Title I PI

Explanation:
Other State Revenue
(linked from 6B
if NOT met)

Common Core and California Clean Energy revenue was received in 2013-14 with a majority of the Clean Energy revenue deferred to 2014-15 and no additional revenue budgeted at this time

Explanation:
Other Local Revenue
(linked from 6B
if NOT met)

Microsoft Settlement onetime revenue is budgeted for 2014-15 with по additional revenue expected

STANDARD NOT MET - Projected total operating expenditures have changed by more than the standard in one or more of the budget or two subsequent fiscal years. Reasons for the projected change, descriptions of the methods and assumptions used in the projections, and what changes, if any, will be made to bring the projected operating expenditures within the standard must be entered in Section 6A above and will also display in the explanation box below.

Explanation: Books and Supplies (linked from 6B if NOT met) 2013-14 Title I carried over funds for purchase student devices were expended along with a portion of Common Core funds with the balance of Common Core and Microsoft Settlement funds planned for expense in 2014-15.

Explanation: Services and Other Exps (linked from 6B if NOT met) Title I PI funds are budgeted for professional development along with Routine Repair and Maintenance projects for 2014-15.

CRITERION: Facilities Maintenance

and Apportionments

(Line 1b, if line 1a is No)

c Net Budgeted Expenditures

and Other Financing Uses

STANDARD: Confirm that the annual contribution for facilities maintenance funding is not less than the amount required pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.75, if applicable, and that the district is providing adequately to preserve the functionality of its facilities for their normal life in accordance with Education Code sections 52060(d)(1) and 17002(d)(1).

Determining the District's Compliance with the Contribution Requirement for EC Section 17070.75 as modified by Section 17070.766 and amended by SB 70 (Chapter 7, Statutes of 2011), effective 2008-09 through 2014-15 - Ongoing and Major Maintenance/Restricted Maintenance Account (OMMA/RMA)

NOTE: SB 70 (Chapter 7, Statutes of 2011) extends EC Section 17070 766 from 2008-09 through 2014-15 EC Section 17070 766 reduced the contributions required by EC Section 17070 75 from 3 percent to 1 percent. Therefore, the calculation in this section has been revised accordingly for that period.

DATA ENTRY: Click the appropriate Yes or No button for special education local plan area (SELPA) administrative units (AUs); all other data are extracted or calculated. If standard is not met, enter an X in the appropriate box and enter an explanation, if applicable

1.	the SELPA from the OMMA/RMA requ			Tacipating members of	No
	b. Pass-through revenues and apportion (Fund 10, objects 7211-7213 and 722			C Section 17070 75(b)(2)(C)	0.00
2	Ongoing and Major Maintenance/Restr	ricted Maintenance Account			
	Budgeted Expenditures and Other Financing Uses (Form 01, objects 1000-7999) b. Plus: Pass-through Revenues	66,187,609.00	1% Required	Budgeted Contribution ¹	

0.00

66,187,609.00

Minimum Contribution

(Line 2c times 1%)

Maintenance Account Status 661,876.09 1,323,752.00 Met

1 Fund 01, Resource 8150, Objects 8900-8999

to the Ongoing and Major

If standard is not met, enter an X in the box that best describes why the minimum required contribution was not made:

	Not applicable (district does not participate in the Leroy F. Green School Facilities Act of 1998) Exempt (due to district's small size [EC Section 17070 75 (b)(2)(D)]) Other (explanation must be provided)
Explanation: (required if NOT met and Other is marked)	

8. CRITERION: Deficit Spending

STANDARD: Unrestricted deficit spending (total unrestricted expenditures and other financing uses is greater than total unrestricted revenues and other financing sources) as a percentage of total unrestricted expenditures and other financing uses, has not exceeded one-third of the district's available reserves as a percentage of total expenditures and other financing uses in two out of three prior fiscal years.

8A. Calculating the District's Deficit Spending Standard Percentage Levels

DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calculated

- District's Available Reserve Amounts (resources 0000-1999) a Reserve for Economic Uncertainties
 - (Funds 01 and 17, Object 9789) b Unassigned/Unappropriated
 - (Funds 01 and 17, Object 9790)
 c Negative General Fund Ending Balances in Restricted
 Resources (Fund 01, Object 979Z, if negative, for each of
 resources 2000-9999)
 - d Available Reserves (Lines 1a through 1c)
- Expenditures and Other Financing Uses
 - a District's Total Expenditures and Other Financing Uses (Fund 01, objects 1000-7999)
 - b Plus: Special Education Pass-through Funds (Fund 10, resources 3300-3499 and 6500-6540, objects 7211-7213 and 7221-7223)
 - c Total Expenditures and Other Financing Uses
 - (Line 2a plus Line 2b) District's Available Reserve Percentage

(Line 1d divided by Line 2c)

District's Deficit Spending Standard Percentage Levels

Third Prior Year (2011-12)		
1,648,293.00	1,644,550.00	1,842,787.00
10,894,945.39	10,983,822.08	9,643,156.28
0.00	0.00	(0.26)
12,543,238.39	12,628,372.08	11,485,943.02
54,943,068.57	54,818,304.90	61,426,221.00
		0.00
54,943,068.57	54,818,304.90	61,426,221.00
22.8%	23.0%	18.7%

s			
):	7.6%	7.7%	6.2%

'Available reserves are the unrestricted amounts in the Reserve for Economic Uncertainties and the Unassigned/Unappropriated accounts in the General Fund and the Special Reserve Fund for Other Than Capital Outlay Projects. Available reserves will be reduced by any negative ending balances in restricted resources in the General Fund

²A school district that is the Administrative Unit of a Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA) may exclude from its expeditures the distribution of funds to its participating members

8B. Calculating the District's Deficit Spending Percentages

DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calculated

Fiscal Year	Net Change in Unrestricted Fund Balance (Form 01, Section E)	Total Unrestricted Expenditures and Other Financing Uses (Form 01, Objects 1000-7999)	Deficit Spending Level (If Net Change in Unrestricted Fund Balance is negative, else N/A)	Status
Third Prior Year (2011-12)	1,469,943.92	36,127,436.48	N/A	Met
Second Prior Year (2012-13)	82,403.69	37,345,260.45	N/A	Met
First Prior Year (2013-14)	(1,146,424.00)	41,118,706.00	2.8%	Met
Budget Year (2014-15) (Information only)	29,534.00	43,979,527.00		

8C. Comparison of District Deficit Spending to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met

1a STANDARD MET - Unrestricted deficit spending, if any, has not exceeded the standard percentage level in two or more of the three prior years

(Line 3 times 1/3)

Explanation:	
(required if NOT met)	

9. CRITERION: Fund Balance

STANDARD: Budgeted beginning unrestricted general fund balance has not been overestimated for two out of three prior fiscal years by more than the following percentage levels:

Percentage Level 1		istrict ADA		
1.7%	0	to	300	
1.3%	301	to	1,000	
1.0%	1,001	to	30,000	
0.7%	30,001	to	400,000	
0.3%	400.001	and	over	

¹ Percentage levels equate to a rate of deficit spending which would eliminate recommended reserves for economic uncertainties over a three year period

Beginning Fund Balance

District ADA (Form A, Estimated P-2 ADA column, lines A4, C1, and C2e):

7,877

District's Fund Balance Standard Percentage Level:
1.0%

9A. Calculating the District's Unrestricted General Fund Beginning Balance Percentages

DATA ENTRY: Enter data in the Original Budget column for the First, Second, and Third Prior Years; all other data are extracted or calculated

(Form 01, Line F1e, Unrestricted Column) Variance Level Estimated/Unaudited Actuals (If overestimated, else N/A) Status Original Budget Fiscal Year Met Third Prior Year (2011-12) 10,474,828.00 11,286,024.47 N/A 11,504,588.00 12,755,968.39 N/A Met Second Prior Year (2012-13) 12,842,367.28 N/A Met First Prior Year (2013-14) 12,229,390.00 Budget Year (2014-15) (Information only) 11,695,943.28

Unrestricted General Fund Beginning Balance ²

9B. Comparison of District Unrestricted Beginning Fund Balance to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met.

1a. STANDARD MET - Unrestricted general fund beginning fund balance has not been overestimated by more than the standard percentage level for two or more of the previous three years

Explanation:	
(required if NOT met)	
(required it NOT met)	

² Adjusted beginning balance, including audit adjustments and other restatements (objects 9791-9795)

10. CRITERION: Reserves

STANDARD: Available reserves¹ for any of the budget year or two subsequent fiscal years are not less than the following percentages or amounts² as applied to total expenditures and other financing uses³:

Percentage Level	D	istrict ADA		
5% or \$64,000 (greater of)	0	to	300	
4% or \$64,000 (greater of)	301	to	1,000	
3%	1,001	to	30,000	
2%	30,001	to	400,000	
1%	400.001	and	over	

Available reserves are the unrestricted amounts in the Reserve for Economic Uncertainties and the Unassigned/Unappropriated accounts in the General Fund and the Special Reserve Fund for Other Than Capital Outlay Projects Available reserves will be reduced by any negative ending balances in restricted resources in the General Fund

³ A school district that is the Administrative Unit (AU) of a Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA) may exclude from its expenditures the distribution of funds to its participating members

	Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
District Estimated P-2 ADA (Criterion 3, Item 3B):	7,877	7,882	7,882
<u></u>			
District's Reserve Standard Percentage Level:	3%	3%	3%

10A. Calculating the District's Special Education Pass-through Exclusions (only for districts that serve as the AU of a SELPA)

DATA ENTRY: For SELPA AUs, if Form MYP exists, all data will be extracted including the Yes/No button selection. If not, click the appropriate Yes or No button for item 1 and, if Yes, enter data for item 2a and for the two subsequent years in item 2b; Budget Year data are extracted

For districts that serve as the AU of a SELPA (Form MYP, Lines F1a, F1b1, and F1b2):

1	Do you choose to exclude from the reserve calculation the pass-through funds distributed to SELPA members?	No.

2 If you are the SELPA AU and are excluding special education pass-through funds:
a Enter the name(s) of the SELPA(s):

о	Special Education Pass-through Funds
	(Fund 10, resources 3300-3499 and 6500-6540,

Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)	
	0.00	0.00	
0.00	0.00	0.00	

objects 7211-7213 and 7221-7223) 10B. Calculating the District's Reserve Standard

DATA ENTRY: If Form MYP exists, 1st and 2nd Subsequent Year data for lines 1 and 2 will be extracted; if not, enter data for the two subsequent years All other data are extracted or calculated

- Expenditures and Other Financing Uses (Fund 01, objects 1000-7999) (Form MYP, Line B11)
- Plus: Special Education Pass-through (Criterion 10A, Line 2b, if Criterion 10A, Line 1 is No)
- 3 Total Expenditures and Other Financing Uses (Line B1 plus Line B2)
- 4 Reserve Standard Percentage Level
- 5 Reserve Standard by Percent (Line B3 times Line B4)
- 6 Reserve Standard by Amount (\$64,000 for districts with 0 to 1,000 ADA, else 0)
- 7 District's Reserve Standard (Greater of Line B5 or Line B6)

Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
(2014-10)	(2010 10)	
66,187,609.00	66,008,667.00	68,140,193.00
0.00	0.00	0.00
66,187,609.00	66,008,667.00	68,140,193.00
3%	3%	3%
1,985,628.27	1,980,260.01	2,044,205.79
0.00	0.00	0.00
1,985,628.27	1,980,260.01	2,044,205.79

² Dollar amounts to be adjusted annually by the prior year statutory cost-of-living adjustment (Education Code Section 42238), rounded to the nearest thousand

10C. Calculating the District's Budgeted Reserve Amount

DATA ENTRY: If Form MYP exists, 1st and 2nd Subsequent Year data for lines 1 through 7 will be extracted; if not, enter data for the two subsequent years All other data are extracted or calculated

	re Amounts tricted resources 0000-1999 except Line 4):	Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
1.	General Fund - Stabilization Arrangements			
	(Fund 01, Object 9750) (Form MYP, Line E1a)	0.00		
2.	General Fund - Reserve for Economic Uncertainties			
	(Fund 01, Object 9789) (Form MYP, Line E1b)	1,985,628.00	1,981,849.00	2,045,952.00
3.	General Fund - Unassigned/Unappropriated Amount			
	(Fund 01, Object 9790) (Form MYP, Line E1c)	9,529,849.28	11,110,024.28	12,507,837.28
4.	General Fund - Negative Ending Balances in Restricted Resources			
	(Fund 01, Object 979Z, if negative, for each of resources 2000-9999)			
	(Form MYP, Line E1d)	(0.26)	0.00	0.00
5.	Special Reserve Fund - Stabilization Arrangements			
	(Fund 17, Object 9750) (Form MYP, Line E2a)	0.00		
6.	Special Reserve Fund - Reserve for Economic Uncertainties			
	(Fund 17, Object 9789) (Form MYP, Line E2b)	0.00		
7.	Special Reserve Fund - Unassigned/Unappropriated Amount			
	(Fund 17, Object 9790) (Form MYP, Line E2c)	0.00		
8.	District's Budgeted Reserve Amount			
	(Lines C1 thru C7)	11,515,477.02	13,091,873.28	14,553,789.28
9.	District's Budgeted Reserve Percentage (Information only)			
	(Line 8 divided by Section 10B, Line 3)	17.40%	19.83%	21.36%
	District's Reserve Standard			
	(Section 10B, Line 7):	1,985,628.27	1,980,260.01	2,044,205.79
	Status:	Met	Met	Met

10D. Comparison of District Reserve Amount to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met

12	STANDARD MET	. Projected available rese	rves have met the sta	andard for the budg	et and two subsequent fiscal ye	ars

Explanation:	
(required if NOT met)	

SUPF	PLEMENTAL INFORMATION
ATA E	ENTRY: Click the appropriate Yes or No button for items S1 through S4. Enter an explanation for each Yes answer.
S1.	Contingent Liabilities
1a	Does your district have any known or contingent liabilities (e.g., financial or program audits, litigation, state compliance reviews) that may impact the budget?
1b	If Yes, identify the liabilities and how they may impact the budget:
S2.	Use of One-time Revenues for Ongoing Expenditures
1a	Does your district have ongoing general fund expenditures in the budget in excess of one percent of the total general fund expenditures that are funded with one-time resources? No
1b.	If Yes, identify the expenditures and explain how the one-time resources will be replaced to continue funding the ongoing expenditures in the following fiscal years:
S 3.	Use of Ongoing Revenues for One-time Expenditures
1a	Does your district have large non-recurring general fund expenditures that are funded with ongoing general fund revenues? No
1b	If Yes, identify the expenditures:
S4.	Contingent Revenues
1a	Does your district have projected revenues for the budget year or either of the two subsequent fiscal years contingent on reauthorization by the local government, special legislation, or other definitive act (e.g., parcel taxes, forest reserves)?
1b	If Yes, identify any of these revenues that are dedicated for ongoing expenses and explain how the revenues will be replaced or expenditures reduced:

S5. Contributions

Identify projected contributions from unrestricted resources in the general fund to restricted resources in the general fund for the budget year and two subsequent fiscal years. Provide an explanation if contributions have changed from the prior fiscal year amounts by more than \$20,000 and more than ten percent. Explanation should include whether contributions are ongoing or one-time in nature.

Identify projected transfers to or from the general fund to cover operating deficits in either the general fund or any other fund for the budget year and two subsequent fiscal years. Provide an explanation if transfers have changed from the prior fiscal year amounts by more than \$20,000 and more than ten percent. Explanation should include whether transfers are ongoing or one-time in nature.

Estimate the impact of any capital projects on the general fund operational budget

-10.0% to +10.0% District's Contributions and Transfers Standard: or -\$20,000 to +\$20,000					
S5A Identification of the District's Projected Contributions. Trai	5A. Identification of the District's Projected Contributions, Transfers, and Capital Projects that may Impact the General Fund				
ATA ENTRY: For Contributions, enter data in the Projection column for the 1st and 2nd Subsequent Years Contributions for the First Prior Year and Budget Year will be extracted For ransfers In and Transfers Out, enter data in the First Prior Year If Form MYP exists, the data will be extracted for the Budget Year, and 1st and 2nd Subsequent Years If Form MYP does not xist, enter data in the Budget Year, 1st and 2nd subsequent Years Click the appropriate button for item 1d; all other data will be calculated					
Description / Fiscal Year	Projection	Amount of Change	Percent Change	Status	
1a Contributions, Unrestricted General Fund (Fund 01, Resource irst Prior Year (2013-14) sudget Year (2014-15)	(9,795,662.00) (10,579,909.00)	784,247.00	8.0%	Met	
st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	(11,663,615.00)	1,083,706.00	10.2%	Not Met	
and Subsequent Year (2016-17)	(12,165,558.00)	501,943.00	4.3%	Met	
1b. Transfers In, General Fund * First Prior Year (2013-14)	0.00				
Budget Year (2014-15)	0.00	0.00	0.0%	Met	
st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	0.00	0.00	0.0%	Met	
and Subsequent Year (2016-17)	0.00	0.00	0.0%	Met	
1c Transfers Out, General Fund * First Prior Year (2013-14)	78,600.00	382.800.00	487.0%	Not Met	
Budget Year (2014-15)	461,400.00 461,400.00	0.00	0.0%	Met	
st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	649,730.00	188.330.00	40.8%	Not Met	
nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)	049,730.00	100,300.00	40.078	140t MCt	
Impact of Capital Projects Do you have any capital projects that may impact the general fund operational budget? No Include transfers used to cover operating deficits in either the general fund or any other fund					
S5B. Status of the District's Projected Contributions, Transfers,	and Capital Projects				
DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if Not Met for items 1a-1c or if Yes for i	tem 1d				
1a. NOT MET - The projected contributions from the unrestricted general fund to restricted general fund programs have changed by more than the standard for one or more of the budget or subsequent two fiscal years Identify restricted programs and amount of contribution for each program and whether contributions are ongoing or one-time in nature. Explain the district's plan, with timeframes, for reducing or eliminating the contribution.					
Explanation: The transfer to Routine RestricteM M (required if NOT met)	laintenance percentage is no	longer flexible, it increases t	o 3% from 2% in 2015-16		
1b. MET - Projected transfers in have not changed by more than the standard for the budget and two subsequent fiscal years					

Explanation: (required if NOT met)

2014-15 July 1 Budget (Single Adoption) General Fund School District Criteria and Standards Review

1c	NOT MET - The projected tra amount(s) transferred, by fur	ansfers out of the general fund have changed by more than the standard for one or more of the budget or subsequent two fiscal years. Identify the nd, and whether transfers are ongoing or one-time in nature. If ongoing, explain the district's plan, with timeframes, for reducing or eliminating the transfers
	Explanation: (required if NOT met)	The district is setting aside funds beginning in 2014-15 for busses, captial improvements. An OPEB transfer began in 2013-14 based on the pay off of a 2003-4 Golden Handshake obligations with two additional years of Golden Handshake obligations for 2005-6 and 2007-8 once paid will increase the transfer to OPEB in 2014-15 and 2016-17
1d	NO - There are no capital pr	ojects that may impact the general fund operational budget
	Project Information: (required if YES)	

S6. Long-term Commitments

Identify all existing and new multiyear commitments1 and their annual required payments for the budget year and two subsequent fiscal years

Explain how any increase in annual payments will be funded Also explain how any decrease to funding sources used to pay long-term commitments will be replaced

¹ Include multiyear commitme	ents, multiyea	r debt agreements, and new prog	rams or contracts	that result in long	-term obligations	
S6A. Identification of the Distric	t's Long-te	rm Commitments				
DATA ENTRY: Click the appropriate b	outton in item	1 and enter data in all columns of	item 2 for applica	ble long-term con	nmitments; there are no extractions in this	section.
 Does your district have long- (If No, skip item 2 and Section 			Yes			
 If Yes to item 1, list all new ar than pensions (OPEB); OPE 	nd existing mi B is disclosed	ultiyear commitments and required I in item S7A	d annual debt sen	vice amounts. Do	not include long-term commmitments for p	postemployment benefits other
Type of Commitment	# of Years Remaining	Funding Sources (Rev		Object Codes Use De	ed For: ebt Service (Expenditures)	Principal Balance as of July 1, 2014
Capital Leases	1 1	01-8560		1100-7439		2,216
Certificates of Participation						
General Obligation Bonds	36	51-8571		51-7434		35,121,168
Supp Early Retirement Program	3	01-8011		01-3921		293,870
State School Building Loans						
Compensated Absences	5	01-8011		01/13/67-2000		164,578
Other Long-term Commitments (do n	ot include OF	PEB):		<u> </u>		
	<u> </u>					05.504.000
TOTAL:						35,581,832
		Prior Year (2013-14) Annual Payment	(201 Annual	et Year 14-15) Payment	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16) Annual Payment (P & I)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17) Annual Payment (P & I)
Type of Commitment (continued)		(P & I)		& 1)	(P & I)	(F & I)
Capital Leases		8,365)	2,216		
Certificates of Participation		005.005		4.000.000	880,008	1,007,787
General Obligation Bonds		995,000		1,090,000		1,007,787
Supp Early Retirement Program		221,013	<u> </u>	171,896	197,875	
State School Building Loans					41.445	44.445
Compensated Absences		41,145	5	41,145	41,145	41,145
Other Long-term Commitments (cont	inued):					
			1			
					,	1010000
	al Payments:	1,265,523		1,305,257	1,119,020	1,048,932
Has total annual p	payment inci	eased over prior year (2013-14)	?['es	No No	No

puntaneouseenseense				
S6B. (S6B. Comparison of the District's Annual Payments to Prior Year Annual Payment			
DATA	ENTRY: Enter an explanation	if Yes		
1a	1a Yes - Annual payments for long-term commitments have increased in one or more of the budget or two subsequent fiscal years. Explain how the increase in annual payments will be funded			
	Explanation: (required if Yes to increase in total annual payments)	Payment on the General Obligation Bond increase a small amount from 2014 to 2015 with a larger portion of the payment going toward principal. The final payment on Series 2011 is September 2017 with the final three years almost half the principal amount of 2015 payments.		
666	Hartification of Doorsoo	s to Funding Sources Used to Pay Long-term Commitments		
S6C. I	dentification of Decrease	s to Funding Sources Used to Pay Long-term Communients		
DATA	ENTRY: Click the appropriate	Yes or No button in item 1; if Yes, an explanation is required in item 2		
1	Will funding sources used to	pay long-term commitments decrease or expire prior to the end of the commitment period, or are they one-time sources?		
		No		
2				
	No - Funding sources will no	ot decrease or expire prior to the end of the commitment period, and one-time funds are not being used for long-term commitment annual payments		
	Explanation: (required if Yes)			

S7. Unfunded Liabilities

Estimate the unfunded liability for postemployment benefits other than pensions (OPEB) based on an actuarial valuation, if required, or other method; identify or estimate the annual required contribution; and indicate how the obligation is funded (pay-as-you-go, amortized over a specific period, etc.).

Estimate the unfunded liability for self-insurance programs such as workers' compensation based on an actuarial valuation, if required, or other method; identify or estimate the required contribution; and indicate how the obligation is funded (level of risk retained, funding approach, etc.)

S7A	dentification of the District's Estimated Unfunded Liability for Post	temployment Benefits Other	than Pensions (OPEB)	
	ENTRY: Click the appropriate button in item 1 and enter data in all other applica			ata on line 5b.
1.	Does your district provide postemployment benefits other than pensions (OPEB)? (If No, skip items 2-5)	Yes		
2.	For the district's OPEB: a Are they lifetime benefits?	No		
	b Do benefits continue past age 65?	No		
	c Describe any other characteristics of the district's OPEB program including their own benefits:	eligibility criteria and amounts, if	any, that retirees are required to contribute	toward
3.	One past Board member receives and one cur a Are OPEB financed on a pay-as-you-go, actuarial cost, or other method? b Indicate any accumulated amounts earmarked for OPEB in a self-insuranc governmental fund OPEB Liabilities a OPEB actuarial accrued liability (AAL) b OPEB unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) c. Are AAL and UAAL based on the district's estimate or an	e or 8,4'	Pay-as-you-go Self-Insurance Fund 0,354.00 15,060.00	Governmental Fund 78,600
	actuarial valuation? d. If based on an actuarial valuation, indicate the date of the OPEB valuation	Actuari Dec 01, 2	013	0.101
5.	OPEB Contributions a OPEB annual required contribution (ARC) per actuarial valuation or Alternative Measurement Method b OPEB amount contributed (for this purpose, include premiums paid to a self-insurance fund) (funds 01-70, objects 3701-3752)	Budget Year (2014-15) 1,182,829.00 467,914.00	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16) 1,182,829.00 212,064.00	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17) 1,182,829.00
	c. Cost of OPEB benefits (equivalent of "pay-as-you-go" amount) d. Number of retirees receiving OPEB benefits	467,914.00 467,914.00 39	212,064.00 212,064.00 26	182,828.00 184,828.00 18

2014-15 July 1 Budget (Single Adoption) General Fund School District Criteria and Standards Review

37B. I	dentification of the District's Unfunded Liability for Self-Insurance	Programs		
ATAC	ENTRY: Click the appropriate button in item 1 and enter data in all other applica	ble items; there are no extractions	in this section	
1	Does your district operate any self-insurance programs such as workers' comemployee health and welfare, or property and liability? (Do not include OPEB covered in Section S7A) (If No. skip items 2-4)	pensation which is Yes		
2	Describe each self-insurance program operated by the district, including detail actuarial), and date of the valuation:	ils for each such as level of risk ret	ained, funding approach, basis for valuati	ion (district's estimate or
3	Self-Insurance Liabilities a Accrued liability for self-insurance programs b Unfunded liability for self-insurance programs	4,976	5,988.00 0.00	
4	Self-Insurance Contributions a Required contribution (funding) for self-insurance programs b Amount contributed (funded) for self-insurance programs	Budget Year (2014-15) 229,102.00 229,102.00	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16) 229,102.00 229,102.00	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17) 229,102.00 229,102.00

S8. Status of Labor Agreements

Analyze the status of employee labor agreements. Identify new labor agreements, as well as new commitments provided as part of previously ratified multiyear agreements; and include all contracts, including all administrator contracts (and including all compensation). For new agreements, indicate the date of the required board meeting. Compare the increase in new commitments to the projected increase in ongoing revenues, and explain how these commitments will be funded in future fiscal years.

If salary and benefit negotiations are not finalized at budget adoption, upon settlement with certificated or classified staff:

The school district must determine the cost of the settlement, including salaries, benefits, and any other agreements that change costs, and provide the county office of education (COE) with an analysis of the cost of the settlement and its impact on the operating budget

The county superintendent shall review the analysis relative to the criteria and standards, and may provide written comments to the president of the district governing board and superintendent

TA E	NTRY: Enter all applicable data items;	there are no extractions in this section				
		Prior Year (2nd Interim) (2013-14)	Budget Year (2014-15)		1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
nbe time	r of certificated (non-management) -equivalent (FTE) positions	416.6	4	24.9	426.9	428.
tific	ated (Non-management) Salary and Are salary and benefit negotiations se			No		
		and the corresponding public disclosure een filed with the COE, complete question				
	lf Yes, a have no	and the corresponding public disclosure of been filed with the COE, complete qu	documents estions 2-5			
	If No, ic	lentify the unsettled negotiations including	ng any prior year unsettled r	egotiations and	then complete questions 6 and 7	7.
	Sylvan	Educators Association is currently nego	tiating the 2014-2015 contra	act.		
otia	Per Government Code Section 3547 by the district superintendent and chie If Yes, of Per Government Code Section 3547 to meet the costs of the agreement?	of business official? date of Superintendent and CBO certific				
	Period covered by the agreement:	Begin Date:		End Date:		
	Salary settlement:		Budget Year (2014-15)		1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
	Is the cost of salary settlement include projections (MYPs)?	ed in the budget and multiyear				
	Total co	One Year Agreement ost of salary settlement				
	% char	nge in salary schedule from prior year or				
	Total co	Multiyear Agreement ost of salary settlement				
		nge in salary schedule from prior year nter text, such as "Reopener")				
	Identify	the source of funding that will be used	to support multiyear salary o	ommitments:		

Negoti	ations Not Settled			
6	Cost of a one percent increase in salary and statutory benefits	283,783		
		Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
7	Amount included for any tentative salary schedule increases	(2014-13)	(2013-10)	0
1	Amount included for any teritative salary scriedule increases	0	01	
		Budget Year	1st Subsequent Year	2nd Subsequent Year
Certifi	cated (Non-management) Health and Welfare (H&W) Benefits	(2014-15)	(2015-16)	(2016-17)
1	Are costs of H&W benefit changes included in the budget and MYPs?	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Total cost of H&W benefits	6,614,305	7,275,736	8,003,310
3	Percent of H&W cost paid by employer	67.0%	66.9%	66.8%
4	Percent projected change in H&W cost over prior year	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
	r ercent projection orlange in France cost over prior your			
Certifi	cated (Non-management) Prior Year Settlements			
	y new costs from prior year settlements included in the budget?	No		
If Yes, amount of new costs included in the budget and MYPs				
	If Yes, explain the nature of the new costs:			
		Budget Year	1st Subsequent Year	2nd Subsequent Year
C416	cated (Non-management) Step and Column Adjustments	(2014-15)	(2015-16)	(2016-17)
Certin	cated (Non-management) Step and Coldmit Adjustments	(2014-10)	(20.0.10)	V
	A Company of the Comp	Von	Yes	Yes
1	Are step & column adjustments included in the budget and MYPs?	Yes 353,106	357,566	373,566
2	Cost of step & column adjustments	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%
3.	Percent change in step & column over prior year	1.570	1.070	113.73
		Budget Year	1st Subsequent Year	2nd Subsequent Year
Cortifi	cated (Non-management) Attrition (layoffs and retirements)	(2014-15)	(2015-16)	(2016-17)
Certin	cated (Non-management) / water (is your and retirement)			
	A Control of the standard in the hardest and MVDoO	Yes	Yes	Yes
1	Are savings from attrition included in the budget and MYPs?	163	100	
2	Are additional H&W benefits for those laid-off or retired employees		ļ	
_	included in the budget and MYPs?	Yes	Yes	Yes
		103	100	100
C 415	cated (Non-management) - Other			
List of	her significant contract changes and the cost impact of each change (i.e., class	size, hours of employment, leave of a	bsence, bonuses, etc):	
LIOT O.	5 (·	• •		
	MODE OF CO.			

ATA	ENTRY: Enter all applicable data items; ther	e are no extractions in this section.			
		Prior Year (2nd Interim) (2013-14)	Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
lumbe TE po	umber of classified (non-managment) TE positions 255.3		256.7	256.7	256.7
Classified (Non-management) Salary and Benefit Negotiations 1 Are salary and benefit negotiations settled for the budget year? If Yes, and the corresponding public disclosure have been filed with the COE, complete question		No documents ns 2 and 3.			
		the corresponding public disclosure of the corresponding public disclosure of the coefficient of the coeffic			
	If No, identif	fy the unsettled negotiations including	g any prior year unsettled negotiati	ons and then complete questions 6 and	7.
	CSEA is in (the process of negotiating the 2013-2	2014 contract		
legotia 2a.	Lations Settled Per Government Code Section 3547 5(a), board meeting:	, date of public disclosure			
2b	Per Government Code Section 3547 5(b), by the district superintendent and chief bu If Yes, date		ation:		
3.	Per Government Code Section 3547.5(c), to meet the costs of the agreement? If Yes, date	was a budget revision adopted of budget revision board adoption:			
4.	Period covered by the agreement:	Begin Date:	En	d Date:	
5.	Salary settlement:		Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
	Is the cost of salary settlement included in projections (MYPs)?	the budget and multiyear			
	Total cost o	One Year Agreement of salary settlement			
	·	n salary schedule from prior year or Multiyear Agreement of salary settlement			
	% change i (may enter	n salary schedule from prior year text, such as "Reopener")			
	Identify the	source of funding that will be used to	o support multiyear salary commitn	nents:	
egoti	ations Not Settled	г			
6.	Cost of a one percent increase in salary a	and statutory benefits	90,485 Budget Year	1st Subsequent Year	2nd Subsequent Year
7.	Amount included for any tentative salary s	schedule increases	(2014-15)	(2015-16)	(2016-17)

iified (Non-management) Health and Welfare (H&W) Benefits	Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
Are costs of H&W benefit changes included in the budget and MYPs?	Voc	Yas	Yes
			1,768,224
			66.8%
Percent projected change in H&W cost over prior year	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
sified (Non-management) Prior Year Settlements			
If Yes, amount of new costs included in the budget and MYPs	No		
sified (Non-management) Sten and Column Adjustments	Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
silled (Non-management) otop and obtain Augustinoms			
Are sten & column adjustments included in the budget and MYPs?	Yes	Yes	Yes
	165,229	168,302	171,432
Percent change in step & column over prior year	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%
	Dudget Vess	1 at Subaggiant Voor	2nd Subsequent Year
to the second Attains the offer and actinomental	_	•	(2016-17)
sified (Non-management) Attrition (layons and retirements)	(2014-13)	(2013-10)	(2010-17)
Are savings from attrition included in the budget and MYPs?	Yes	Yes	Yes
Are additional H&W benefits for those laid-off or retired employees included in the budget and MYPs?	Yes	Yes	Yes
sified (Non-management) - Other ther significant contract changes and the cost impact of each change (i.e., hour	rs of employment, leave of absence, t	bonuses, etc):	
	sified (Non-management) Prior Year Settlements ny new costs from prior year settlements included in the budget? If Yes, amount of new costs included in the budget and MYPs If Yes, explain the nature of the new costs: sified (Non-management) Step and Column Adjustments Are step & column adjustments included in the budget and MYPs? Cost of step & column adjustments Percent change in step & column over prior year sified (Non-management) Attrition (layoffs and retirements) Are savings from attrition included in the budget and MYPs? Are additional H&W benefits for those laid-off or retired employees included in the budget and MYPs?	Are costs of H&W benefit changes included in the budget and MYPs? Total cost of H&W benefits Percent of H&W cost paid by employer Percent projected change in H&W cost over prior year Sified (Non-management) Prior Year Settlements ny new costs from prior year settlements included in the budget and MYPs If Yes, amount of new costs included in the budget and MYPs If Yes, explain the nature of the new costs: Budget Year (2014-15) Budget Year (2014-15) Budget Year (2014-15) Are step & column adjustments included in the budget and MYPs? Cost of step & column adjustments Percent change in step & column over prior year Are savings from altrition (layoffs and retirements) Are savings from altrition included in the budget and MYPs? Are additional H&W benefits for those laid-off or retired employees included in the budget and MYPs? Sified (Non-management) - Other	Are costs of H&W benefit changes included in the budget and MYPs? Total cost of H&W benefits Percent of H&W cost paid by employer Percent projected change in H&W cost over prior year Siffed (Non-management) Prior Year Settlements If Yes, amount of new costs included in the budget and MYPs Are step & column adjustments included in the budget and MYPs? Cost of step & column adjustments Percent change in step & column over prior year Siffed (Non-management) Step and Column Adjustments Are step & column adjustments included in the budget and MYPs? Cost of step & column adjustments Percent change in step & column over prior year Siffed (Non-management) Attrition (layoffs and retirements) Are savings from attrition included in the budget and MYPs? Are avaings from attrition included in the budget and MYPs? Are avaings from attrition included in the budget and MYPs? Are additional H&W benefits for those laid-off or retired employees included in the budget and MYPs? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Y

S8C.	Cost Analysis of District's Labor	Agreements - Management/Superv	isor/Confidential Employees		
DATA	ENTRY: Enter all applicable data item	s; there are no extractions in this section.			
		Prior Year (2nd Interim) (2013-14)	Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
Number of management, supervisor, and confidential FTE positions		37.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	gement/Supervisor/Confidential		[
Salary	r and Benefit Negotiations Are salary and benefit negotiations:	settled for the budget year?	No		
	•	, complete question 2			
		identify the unsettled negotiations including	ng any prior year unsettled negotia	tions and then complete questions 3 and	4
			J	,	
		skip the remainder of Section S8C.			
Negot 2	iations Settled Salary settlement:		Budget Year	1st Subsequent Year	2nd Subsequent Year
2.	Salary Settlement.		(2014-15)	(2015-16)	(2016-17)
	Is the cost of salary settlement inclu projections (MYPs)?	ded in the budget and multiyear			
		cost of salary settlement			
	% cha (may	ange in salary schedule from prior year enter text, such as "Reopener")			
Negot	iations Not Settled				
3.	Cost of a one percent increase in sa	alary and statutory benefits	32,196		
			Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
4.	Amount included for any tentative sa	alary schedule increases	0	0	0
	gement/Supervisor/Confidential n and Welfare (H&W) Benefits		Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
		natural in the hudget and MVDs2		W	V
1. 2.	Are costs of H&W benefit changes i Total cost of H&W benefits	ncluded in the bodget and wires?	Yes 431,438	Yes 474,582	Yes 522,040
3.	Percent of H&W cost paid by emplo	yer	67.0%	66.9%	66.8%
4.	Percent projected change in H&W of	-	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
	gement/Supervisor/Confidential and Column Adjustments		Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
1.	Are step & column adjustements inc	cluded in the budget and MYPs?	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Cost of step and column adjustmen		33,735	30,157	20,055
3.	Percent change in step & column ov	ver prior year	1.1%	0.9%	0.6%
	gement/Supervisor/Confidential Benefits (mileage, bonuses, etc.)		Budget Year (2014-15)	1st Subsequent Year (2015-16)	2nd Subsequent Year (2016-17)
1.	Are costs of other benefits included	in the budget and MYPs?	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Total cost of other benefits	m the budget and miles:	79,523	79,523	79,523
3.	Percent change in cost of other ben	efits over prior year	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

2014-15 July 1 Budget (Single Adoption) General Fund School District Criteria and Standards Review

59.	Local	Control	and	Accoun	tability	Plan	(LCAP))
-----	-------	---------	-----	--------	----------	------	--------	---

Confirm that the school district's governing board has adopted an LCAP or an update to the LCAP effective for the budget year.

DATA ENTRY: Click the appropriate Yes or No button in item 1, and enter the date in item 2

1 Did or will the school district's governing board adopt an LCAP or approve an update to the LCAP effective for the budget year?

Yes		

2 Approval date for adoption of the LCAP or approval of an update to the LCAP

Jun 24, 2014

S10. LCAP Expenditures

Confirm that the school district's budget includes the expenditures necessary to implement the LCAP or annual update to the LCAP

DATA ENTRY: Click the appropriate Yes or No button

Does the school district's budget include the expenditures necessary to implement the LCAP or annual update to the LCAP as described in the Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template, Section 3: Actions, Services and Expenditures?

Yes

ADDITIONAL FISCAL INDIC

The following fiscal indicators are designed to provide additional data for reviewing agencies. A "Yes" answer to any single indicator does not necessarily suggest a cause for concern, but may alert the reviewing agency to the need for additional review.

DATA ENTRY: Click the appropriate Yes or No button for items A1 through A9 except item A3, which is automatically completed based on data in Criterion 2 Do cash flow projections show that the district will end the budget year with a No negative cash balance in the general fund? A2. Is the system of personnel position control independent from the payroll system? Yes Is enrollment decreasing in both the prior fiscal year and budget year? (Data from the enrollment budget column of Criterion 2A are used to determine Yes or No) No Are new charter schools operating in district boundaries that impact the district's enrollment, either in the prior fiscal year or budget year? No Has the district entered into a bargaining agreement where any of the budget or subsequent years of the agreement would result in salary increases that No are expected to exceed the projected state funded cost-of-living adjustment? Does the district provide uncapped (100% employer paid) health benefits for current or Yes retired employees? Is the district's financial system independent of the county office system? No Does the district have any reports that indicate fiscal distress pursuant to Education Code Section 42127.6(a)? (If Yes, provide copies to the county office of education) No Have there been personnel changes in the superintendent or chief business official positions within the last 12 months? No When providing comments for additional fiscal indicators, please include the item number applicable to each comment. A6. the District provides lifetime health benefits for one retired Board member. Comments: (optional)

End of School District Budget Criteria and Standards Review